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Executive Summary

1. Over the last three decades, a movement toward Hinduizing India—advancing the status of Hindus toward political and social primacy in India—has continued to gain ground in South Asia and diasporic communities. The Sangh Parivar (the Sangh “family”), the network of groups at the forefront of this Hindu nationalist movement, has an estimated membership numbering in the millions, making the Sangh one of the largest voluntary associations in India. The major organizations in the Sangh include the Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS), Vishwa Hindu Parishad (VHP), Bajrang Dal, and Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP).

2. Hindu nationalism has intensified and multiplied forms of discrimination, exclusion, and gendered and sexualized violence against Muslims, Christians, other minorities, and those who oppose Sangh violations, as documented by Indian citizens and international tribunals, fact-finding groups, international human rights organizations, and U.S. governmental bodies.

3. India-based Sangh affiliates receive social and financial support from its U.S.-based wings, the latter of which exist largely as tax-exempt non-profit organizations in the United States: Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh (HSS), Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America (VHPA), Sewa International USA, Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation-USA. The Overseas Friends of the Bharatiya Janata Party - USA (OFBJP) is active as well, though it is not a tax-exempt group.

Youth and Family Programs

4. Sangh-affiliated youth and family programs, such as those held by the Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh and the Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America, have concentrated their classes, camps, events, and materials on Hindu cultural identity. As of May 2014, there were 140 HSS shakhas (chapters) in the United States listed on the HSS website. Between 2002 and 2012 the HSS and VHP have collectively spent more than $2.5 million on youth and family programs. Literature used by such programs often prioritize a version of history and culture that highlights the Sangh Parivar leadership of India and Brahminical (upper-caste) narratives and practices, while diminishing the struggles and contributions of lower caste and non-Hindu communities.

5. In 2009, Sangh-affiliated Hindu Students Council (HSC) student groups were present on 78 U.S. and Canadian university and college campuses, including those of Duke University, Emory University, Johns Hopkins University, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, McGill University, New York University, University of Wisconsin at Madison, Stanford University, Syracuse University, University of California at Berkeley, Irvine, and San Diego, University of Ottawa, and University of Texas at Austin and Houston.

Charitable Organizations

6. From 2001 and 2012, five Sangh-affiliated charitable groups (India Development and Relief Fund, Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of America, Param Shakti Peeth, Sewa International, and Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America) allocated over $55 million dollars to their program services, funds which are largely sent to groups in India. Several of the recipient groups have affiliations with the India-based Sangh Parivar, and more investigation is needed into:

a) other funding channels from the United States;
b) whether the monies collected were allocated to the purposes reported to the Internal Revenue Service; and

c) the effects of funding recipients’ work.

Academic and associated sites

7. Hindu nationalist groups have increasingly inserted themselves into curricular, administrative, and financing arenas in academic and educational institutions, specifically in the disciplines of history, religious studies, Indology and other fields. Particular projects include the establishment and operation of a religious college, the Hindu University of America, at least one religious studies conference (World Association for Vedic Studies), and funding institutions, such as the Infinity Foundation and the Vivek Welfare and Educational Foundation. From 2001 to 2013, the Infinity Foundation gave more than $1.9 million to researchers, academic associations, and academic departments around the world, including the Association for Asian Studies, California Institute of Integral Studies, the Center for the Study of Developing Societies, Columbia University, Harvard University, Melbourne University, National Institute of Advanced Studies, Rutgers University, University of Hawaii, and the University of Texas at Austin.

Sangh Leadership in Indo-American Communities

8. Over the last two decades, Sangh-affiliated organizations have emerged as leaders in Indo-American communities. Major events include:

   a) Overseas Friends of the BJP (OFBJP) co-hosted a luncheon on Capitol Hill in early March 2002 with two other major Indian-American organizations, while BJP-ruled Gujarat witnessed mass killings of Muslims.

   b) OFBJP members and the Asian American Hotel Owners Association (AAHOA) were among the leadership that sought to host Gujarat Chief Minister Narendra Modi as an honored guest speaker in 2005.

   c) In the California textbook controversy of 2005-2006, the Vedic Foundation and Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh’s educational wing, the Hindu Education Foundation, led an effort to insert edits into California textbooks that foregrounded Hindu nationalist priorities and downplayed gender and caste oppression in Ancient India.

   d) Since the textbooks controversy, the Hindu American Foundation has become a voice for Hindu nationalist interests to U.S. politicians.

Further Steps

9. Further investigations are needed to explore:

   a) possible legal culpability of U.S.-based Sangh groups and members in Sangh-led violent acts in South Asia;

   b) possible violations of 501(c)(3) regulations and restrictions; and

   c) the involvement of other U.S.-based groups and individuals in supporting violence perpetrated by Hindu nationalist groups.
Figure 1. Four Areas of Hindu Nationalist Activities in the U.S. Indian Diaspora

Each tax-exempt organization is listed with its identification number as registered with the United States Internal Revenue Service

**Youth / Family Programs**
- Hindu Students Council (HSC, 72-1551978)
- Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh (HSS, 52-1647017)
- Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America (VHPA, 51-0156325)
- Vishwa Hindu Parishad Overseas (VHPO, 04-3576058)

**Charitable Funding**
- Ekal Vidyalya Foundation of USA (EVFA, 77-0554248)
- Hindu Charity Trust of Texas (51-0555401)
- India Development and Relief Fund (IDRF, 52-1555563)
- Param Shakti Peeth of America (71-0916422)
- Sewa International-USA (20-0638718)
- Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America (VHPA, 51-0156325)
- Vivek Welfare and Education Fund (VWEF, 59-3623323)

**Circulation of Hindu Nationalist Discourse in Academic and Para-Academic Spaces**
- Hindu University of America (HUA, 59-2977691)
- Infinity Foundation (22-3339826)
- Vivek Welfare and Education Fund (VWEF, 59-3623323)
- World Association for Vedic Studies Conferences (WAVES, 72-1350935)

**Public Campaigns**
- California Parents for the Equalization of Educational Materials (CAPEEM, 56-2565521)
- Educators’ Society for the Heritage of India (ESHI, 20-1200065)
- Hindu American Foundation (HAF, 68-0551525)
- Hindu Education Foundation (a wing of the HSS)
- Hindu International Council Against Defamation (HICAD, 22-3810334)
- Overseas Friends of the BJP (OFBJP) (not tax-exempt)
- Vedic Foundation (affiliate of JKP Radha Madhav Dham, 74-2673063)
The Sangh Parivar in the United States

Historical and present violence in South Asia perpetrated by a network of militant Hindu nationalist groups, called the Sangh Parivar (the Sangh “family”), has prompted calls for research into its U.S.-based wings. These counterparts largely operate as cultural, educational, and charitable funding organizations, conducting social programs involving wealthy and middle-class Indo-American families. This report examines some of Hindu nationalism’s major projects in the U.S. from 2001 to 2014, their priorities in diaspora and connections to India. It discusses some of the key U.S.-based institutions, the targets of their influence and recipients of their funding, and the production of Sangh’s cultural, religious, and historical truths. This information has been compiled as a resource to better understand Hindu nationalism and to inform critical responses to the forms of violence it fuels.

The website of the U.S.-based Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh (HSS), a tax-exempt, non-profit organization, states that it is “essential for Hindus living in America to develop unity and harmony in their community”; an overseas counterpart of a Hindu nationalist leadership wing, the Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS, National Volunteer Corps) of India, the HSS works toward this unity by “organiz[ing] the Hindu community... to preserve, practice and promote Hindu ideals and values” in the United States (HSS 2009a). Hinduutva, the ideology that underpins Sangh narratives and its forms of “Hindu values and culture,” advocates the establishment of India as a Hindu nation based on the political supremacy of Hindus, a social order organized by an “exclusionary canon whereby all non-Hindus, and dissenting Hindus, identified as traitors, are conceptualized as second-class citizens,” justifying their systematic mistreatment or heightened assimilation into the Hindu nation (Chatterji 2009: 101; Narayan 2009; see also Jaffrelot 1996: 25-33).

The Sangh’s large-scale anti-Muslim and anti-Christian violence, including gendered and sexualized violence, and destruction of sites of spiritual importance—as in Gujarat 2002 and Orissa 2007-2008—have received scrutiny from scholars, journalists, Indian and international human rights groups, as well as government reports. Sangh actions against its opponents have also received international attention, as with the harassment of human rights defenders in Gujarat (HRW 2004) and the intimidation and threats of rape and assault against women members of the Indian People’s Tribunal on Communalism in Orissa in 2005 (Williams and Pocha 2005). The Sangh’s political ascendency in India since the 1920s has fueled an “authoritarian, xenophobic and majoritarian religious nationalism,” polarizing culture and society against religious, ethnic, cultural, sexual and gender minorities, and the Sangh’s opponents (Bhatt 2001: 4). Hindu nationalist violence is further exacerbated by the ineffectiveness of Indian state agencies to deliver justice and accountability for its religious minorities, as noted by the U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom, which placed India on its Watch List/Tier 2 status for the sixth year in a row in 2014 (USCIRF 2014: 121).

The RSS is known in India for its extensive network of chapters of martial and ideological training for men and boys (see Jaffrelot 1996: 33-35). While the RSS membership roster remains unavailable for public scrutiny, a 2008 article from The Times reported that the RSS claimed 8 million members at the time; its social-cultural wing, the Vishwa Hindu Parishad (VHP, World Hindu Council), claimed 6.8 million members, and membership in one does not preclude membership in another (Page and Blakely 2008). The Sangh’s decentralized network of affiliates includes women’s groups, student
organizations, workers’ associations, political parties, educational institutions, disaster relief and development groups, police personnel, and government officials, making the Sangh one of the most widespread and influential voluntary associations in South Asia (see Berti, Jaoul, and Kanungo 2011; Hansen 1999; Human Rights Watch 2002; Jaffrelot 1996, 2007; Narayan 2009; Puniyani 2004).

The Sangh’s social and charitable programs have energized the rise of Hindu nationalism. Sangh-run educational and development projects in India advance the “standardization and homogenization of Hinduism,” circulating the “defense of the Hindu nation” discourse that justifies the destruction of certain minorities and the assimilation of others into a Brahmanical social order (Hansen 1999: 102; Sundar 2004). Sangh-affiliated groups in diaspora, some of them tax-exempt organizations, fund and bolster this infrastructure in India while building the Sangh’s social capital among Indo-American communities. Diasporic charitable funding of Hindu nationalist relief and development work has been met with concern in diaspora as activists called for attentiveness to certain charitable groups and their links to the Sangh in India (Sabrang and South Asia Citizens Web 2002; Awaaz 2004).

While Hindutva operates in India often with state and police complicity8 and the support of Hindu cultural dominance9—the ordering of society in ways that benefit upper caste, upper class Hindus—Sangh groups must navigate different landscapes of strategies, social institutions, and hierarchies in the United States, where “Hindu” is one of many minority identities. Bhatt and Mukta observed in 2000 that diasporic Hindu nationalism in the U.S. and U.K. has been able to “translate” the experiences of “discrimination or minority status in the West [into] religious and ethnic terms to create new languages of majorities and minorities that are rearticulated as coherent ideologies of religious or ethnic nationalism,” consolidating political will in diaspora to support the Hindu nation (Bhatt and Mukta 2000: 409). Such cultural and political assertions, aided by the sharp rise of information technologies in the 1990s, are part of new forms of long-distance nationalism whose dialogues with the homeland have become more immediate and intimate (Appadurai 1996: 196).

The use of upper-caste cultural narratives and practices in alignment with Hindu dominance in India to respond to the realities of being a minority in the U.S. is cultivated through increasing numbers of Sangh social programs, including youth programs and family camps. The Sangh’s expanding influence among Indo-American communities accompanies a current of approval or indifference to the Sangh’s violent actions in India, as minorities continue to live in increasingly polarized and precarious conditions (Prakash 2009; U.S. State Department [Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor] 2009). This report is one response to the calls for an examination of diasporic Hindu nationalism, seeking to lay the groundwork for further investigations into what might constitute accountability and justice across political boundaries.

---

8. The support of state and police complicity in Hindutva movements.
9. The support of Hindu cultural dominance in the ordering of society.
Methodology

This report discusses the strategies and activities of Hindu nationalist groups in the United States in four areas of mobilization. The first section explores major youth programs run by the Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh (Balagokulam), the Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America (Bal Vihar), and the Hindu Students Council, offering reflections on how such programs might direct the youth toward support for Hindu nationalist narratives and acceptance of the Sangh figures and groups as authority figures and leaders in diaspora.

The second examines five Sangh-affiliated charitable organizations and the funds they have channeled to Indian-based Hindu nationalist groups. The information draws on the work of other researchers in the U.S. and U.K. and on tax documents filed by the Sangh-affiliated U.S. organizations to look at the amounts of monies that have been channeled over the last decade.

Section three covers some Sangh groups’ attempts to insert Hindu nationalist discourse into academic institutions by scrutinizing the funding recipients of the Infinity Foundation and the types of work and the teachers and presenters prioritized at Hindu University of America and the conferences hosted by the World Association for Vedic Studies, as well as the intense pressure that have been directed at scholars and faculty of South Asia who do not align with Hindu nationalism.

The fourth section is on the emergence of Hindu nationalist figures and groups as leaders in Indo-American communities, focusing on the 2005-2006 California textbook controversy and other events.

This report uses information from the websites of Sangh groups and other affiliated organizations, including image galleries, previous versions of websites as archived by Google cache or online archives. Images and website pages were downloaded manually or via offline-browsing software (e.g. wget). Much of the information in this report regarding finances and membership is self-reported by the groups themselves to the Internal Revenue Service or gathered from the organizations’ websites, and requires further verification.

Each organization’s IRS documents—tax returns (form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF) and applications for tax-exempt status (form 1023) and—were accessed via online services such as Guidestar or requested from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service. References to the line item locations of the figures in the tax documents are in the captions for each table.

Notes

1 “Tax-exempt” in this research refers to organizations registered with the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) under U.S. Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3). Each tax-exempt group discussed in this report has a tax identification number, which has been included to disambiguate Sangh groups from others that share similar names. The qualifications for this status can be found on the IRS website (U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service 2010).

2 Hindutva: the ideology of Hindu nationalism, which is underpinned by the notions that India should be a Hindu nation, that there is a limited set of correct ways to be “Hindu”. Hindutva poses minority interests and equitable social relations as contrary to its understanding of a strong Hindu nation, forming a social terrain of normalized, gendered mistreatment against religious and political minorities (Jaffrelot 1996; Sharma 2006; see Savarkar’s text, Savarkar 1969).

3 In the spring of 2002, Sangh Parivar members led massacres against Muslims of Gujarat on a massive scale, killing, raping, and mutilating women and men, young and old, reportedly with state and police complicity and support. Official Indian government figures place the toll at 1,180 (Misra 2009), though human rights groups


5 In India, the Sangh’s violence and its aftermath are confronted by numerous civil society groups and activists, including those that provide humanitarian aid and legal services, conduct communal harmony and community empowerment work, and undertake politically immersed scholarship, including: Prashant (A Center for Human Rights, Justice, and Peace), Act Now for Harmony and Democracy (ANHAD), Aman Biradari, Jan Vikas, People’s Union for Civil Liberties, and Sabrang Communications, among many others.

6 In the context of South Asia, “communalism” is understood as conflict between religious communities. The term is described more in-depth by Romila Thapar as “an intermeshing of ideology and power, where groups aspiring to power use a particular religious ideology to subvert a social order and replace it with an order that is based on sharp differentiations between those who accept the ideology and those who do not” (Thapar 2000c: 1099).


9 Hindu cultural dominance: the arrangement of social and political life toward the benefit and ascendency of particular Hindus, in which certain upper-caste values, texts, and practices provide the markers of the “only ‘right’ way to be human, citizen, patriot,” erasing the diversity of syncretic cultural practices in India, labeled as Hindu or otherwise (Chatterji 2009: 41).
The Sangh’s Youth and Family Programs

Over the last two decades, Hindu nationalism has gained strength as a framework through which the diaspora engages with identity, homeland, culture, and history. The Sangh’s project to bring about “Hindu unity” in the face of the diversity of Indo-American communities requires an infrastructure to privilege certain (upper-caste) cultural practices and narratives as “Hindu” and “syndicating” them as more important than others.10 (Thapar 2000b). Aided in a U.S. landscape where South Asian social interactions are often divided along religious lines, the Sangh functions “as a reservoir of knowledge and skills... teaching families how they could retain their culture,” and that this culture is “Hindu,” with little emphasis of the legacies of “complex shared histor[ies] that [include] those who are not Hindus” (Mohammad-Arif 2002: 213; Rajagopal 2000: 474; Prashad 2000: 148).

The U.S. counterparts of the militant Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS) and the Vishwa Hindu Parishad (VHP)—the Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh (HSS, identification number 52-1647017) and the VHP of America (VHSA, identification number 51-0156325), respectively—both operate youth camps and education programs to promote “Hindu culture” and to encourage young Hindus to “develop pride in their Hindu identity” (HSS 2009a; VHSA 2014a). The Vishwa Hindu Parishad Overseas (VHPO), or World Hindu Council (identification number 04-3576058), formed in 2002, focuses its funds on yoga classes and educational materials, and at one time hosted religious speakers and in 2003 devoted funds to the “Global Dharma Conference” in New Jersey. According to VHPO’s tax returns from 2002-2008, it deals with significantly less funds than the VHSA.

There appears to be little difference between HSS and VHSA classes’ descriptions in the tax returns, aside from the HSS’s use of English and the VHSA’s emphasis on South Asian languages. The HSS registered as a tax-exempt organization in 1989 and listed 140 chapters in operation on its website as of May 2014, located largely in urban centers (HSS’s IRS form 1023, HSS 2014a; see Figure 2). Its 2003 tax return states that it holds a weekly class, called Balagokulam, “to give children Hindu Universal Family values through Yoga and games,” taking place in Hindu temples or community centers.11 On the HSS website, Balagokulam chapters are referred to as shakhas, the term also used by the RSS for its chapters in India (Jaffrelot 1996: 35-40; HSS 2014b).

Another element of the Indian Sangh that appears in Balagokulam sessions is the inclusion of a prayer to a saffron flag, the dhwaj, regarded as “a symbol [of the Sangh’s] Dharma and culture representing purity, knowledge and sacrifice” (Balagokulam 2009; HSS 2009b). Saffron flags carry a social and political charge in South Asia for those who do not align with Hindu nationalism; as witnessed during the mass violence of Gujarat 2002, such flags marked razed mosques as the threat and evidence of Sangh-led destruction, Hindu dominance, and Muslim subjugation (Mander 2002).

The VHP, the social-cultural wing of the Sangh Parivar, was formed in 1964 to “supply the pure spirit of the Hindu way of life” to Hindus of the world (Apte 1964). As a result of its activities, it has been called “extremist” in the U.S. State Department’s International Religious Freedom Annual Reports, and has been mentioned in every International Religious Freedom Annual Report from 2001-2011 for violations of religious freedom against minorities (U.S. State Department [Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor] 2001-2011).
Biju Mathew and Vijay Prashad discussed the U.S. Sangh’s cultural activities in 2000, placing them in context with immigrant desires to “re-invent their cultural environment to preserve themselves from the onslaught of what they see as an ahistorical and non-contradictory ‘American culture’,” with emphasis on raising young people in diaspora (Mathew and Prashad 2000: 520-521). In VHPA-founder Mahesh Mehta’s discussion of the VHPA’s relation to family life, the cultural education of young people was understood also as a way to prevent the breakdown of domestic relations and the loss of traditional (understood as Brahmanical in many instances) forms of control over the sexuality and obedience--and in effect, labor and property--of women and young people, with special concern placed on young women (Rajagopal 2000: 473-474; Mathew and Prashad 2000: 521). Rajagopal observed in 2000 that one of the Sangh’s efforts in these spaces was to teach young people “why their families were different and... why this difference was a form of superiority, through stories from Hindu mythology” (Rajagopal 2000: 473; see also Mathew and Prashad 2000).

A 2005 Balagokulam Teacher Handbook provides illustrations of Rajagopal’s observations, including:

1. Emphasis on the Vedas, which were historically restricted to upper-caste Hindus and reflect upper-caste cultural values. The Vedas, through complex histories in connection to Orientalism, have become foregrounded “as central and foundational to the ‘essence’ of Hinduism” (HSS 2005: 78-81; King 1999: 102);

2. Quotes and stories from Indian Sangh leaders K.B. Hedgewar and M.S. Golwalkar (see Jaffrelot 1996: 33-58 for Hedgewar and Golwalkar’s politics; HSS 2005: 34, 84-97);

3. The life-story of Chhatrapati Shivaji, who symbolized “courage, heroism, love of the motherland and love of Dharma,” demonstrated through battling and beheading Afzal Khan, described as a “Pathan general,” coded as “Muslim” in Hindutva’s lexicon (HSS 2005: 27; Chatterji 2009: 4); and

4. Linking the rise of forms of mistreatment of Hindu women with “Muslim rule”: “Sati, Child-marriage, Ghunghat [wearing of the veil], etc were largely caused by the arbitrary tyrannical rule of the Sultans of Delhi” (HSS 2005: 175).

This cultural repertoire corroborates and reinforces the primacy of Brahmanical cultural forms in diaspora and provides a historical narrative that underpins a current of diasporic approval or apathy to the Sangh’s anti-minority and violent politics in South Asia. The U.S. Sangh has continued to receive community support even in the aftermath of the mass killings of Gujarat 2002 and Orissa 2007-2008, with rising participation in its classes, camps, and public events (see Table 2).
On the Bajrang Dal

Of note in the U.S. is the absence of a tax-exempt counterpart for the Sangh’s militant youth wing, the Bajrang Dal, characterized as “extremist” by the U.S. State Department annual reports on International Religious Freedom for its assaults on religious minorities (see U.S. State Department [Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor]: 2002, 2010). The Bajrang Dal’s website, Hinduunity.org, is registered to a New York post office box address. The website hosts Hindu supremacist materials and statements, including a “Black List/hitlist” that identifies various persons as having committed “crimes against the Hindu people,” ranging from Osama bin Laden to the Pope to journalists to academics and human rights activists; the list includes phone numbers and addresses of some of the latter professionals, such as Angana Chatterji and Biju Mathew (Hinduunity.org 2006). HinduUnity.org was one of the websites banned by the Government of India in the summer of 2006 (Biswas 2006).

---

Figure 2. U.S. Cities with HSS Shakhas/Balagokulams, 2014 (140 chapters)

Data source: HSS website, Chapters (HSS 2014a); Map data ©2014 Google, INEGI, SK M&C, Tele Atlas, ZENRIN
The listing of city names can be found in Appendix B.
The VHPA website states that its first chapter was established in New York in 1970, shortly after U.S. immigration law relaxed restrictions on immigrants from Asia in 1965 (VHPA 2008). Similar to the Balagokulams, VHPA’s Bal Vihar program “provides an opportunity for... children to discover their cultural bond with Bharat [India] and develop pride in their Hindu identity,” working with young people aged five and older toward developing leadership skills “for the Hindu community in Americas” (VHPA 2014a). Its key activities, as described on the VHPA website, are similar to those of the Balagokulam: yoga, chanting, stories, and prayer (VHPA 2014a). While the VHPA website does not list its Bal Vihar locations, it has contact information for nineteen VHPA chapters as of June 2014 (see below), and according to the VHPA website, each chapter is required to implement a Bal Vihar program (VHPA 2014b).

VHPA family camps, according to the group’s website, prioritize “yoga, meditation, traditions, rituals, [and] scriptures” in the practice of “Hindu culture” to help participants “to lead their lives as confident, proud and assertive Hindus,” combining Sangh-supported Hindu practices with notions of leadership and pride (VHPA 2014c). In U.S.-based classes and camps, unlike RSS schools in India (e.g. Vidya Bharti schools), there appears not to be the trainings on knife and stick fighting in combination with “the repeated exhortation to ‘defend’ the ‘nation’” (Sundar 2004: 1610-1611) or an overarching emphasis on the need to defend Hindu women from sexual predation by men of other communities (Ambikar 2008: 209). As well, the Sangh’s programs are supplemental to U.S. schools rather than a key vehicle for social upward mobility as they often are in India (see Sundar 2004: 1608-1611). What remains, in India and the U.S., is that while attendees and their families may not be fully devoted to the Sangh’s supremacist politics, they “end up with a strong sympathy for the Sangh” (Sundar 2004: 1608). This sympathy can be seen in the continued financial contributions to Sangh groups and the attendance of Indo-American communities in Sangh activities and campaigns, such as the HSS’s family camps and Hindu Sangams of 2006—five large public gatherings, described as “grand [Hindu] cultural festival[s],” in different U.S. regions that witnessed more than 1000 participants each, with more than 16,000 attendees in Silicon Valley, according to HSS’s press statements (HSS 2006a, b, c). Three of these gatherings featured then-RSS General Secretary Mohan Bhagwat as a keynote or special speaker, enabling the circulation of the Sangh’s priorities and reinforcing the Sangh’s authority as Hindu leaders in diaspora. Bhagwat became the new RSS Sarsanghchalak (chief) in early 2009 (Indian Express 2009).

### Table 1. VHPA-America Chapters, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Hartford, CT</th>
<th>8. Pittsburgh, PA</th>
<th>15. Chicago, IL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Southern NJ</td>
<td>13. Houston, TX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Lehigh Valley, PA</td>
<td>14. North Houston, TX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: VHPA website, Chapters page (VHPA 2014b).*
### Table 2. Attendance and Monies allocated toward HSS Shakhas and VHPA Bal Vihars, 2002-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th># of HSS Shakhas (# of attendees)</th>
<th>Shakha Allocations</th>
<th>VHPA Bal Vihar attendees</th>
<th>Bal Vihar Allocations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>30 (750-900)</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>$17,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>66 (Not specified)</td>
<td>$42,105.36</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>$21,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>75 (2000)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>$23,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>75 (3000)</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>$19,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>102 (1500)</td>
<td>$90,558</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>$25,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>102 (10,000)</td>
<td>$132,841</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>$19,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>102 (10,000)</td>
<td>$156,051</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Combined with family camps: $163,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>140+ (Not specified)</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Combined with family camps: $165,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>150+</td>
<td>$240,000</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Combined with family camps: $192,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>150+</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Combined with family camps: $305,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>140+ (5000)</td>
<td>$256,920</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Combined with family camps: $325,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,448,475</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Between 2002 and 2012, the HSS and VHPA organizations spent more than $2.5 million on youth educational programs and family camps combined (see Table 2). How the classes impact student relations to the Sangh’s versions of a proud Hindu-- how the Sangh’s work intersects with class and race privilege, how it has affected family and gender relations, and interactions with Dalits (formerly “untouchable” peoples), Muslims, Christians and other groups-- is still in need of further research.

While the Balagokulam and Bal Vihar classes generally accommodate students up to 17-18 years of age, for youths that pursue higher education, the Hindu Students Council (HSC, identification number 72-1551978) organizes “Campus Study Groups, Classes and Symposia, Seminars, Lectures & Workshops, Celebration of Festivals, Conferences and Camps, Leadership Workshops, Sport & Travel Activities, Publication & Distribution of Literature” on university and college campuses (HSC 2006). The HSC website in 2009 indicated its presence on 78 campuses in Canada and the United States, including those of Duke, Emory, Johns Hopkins, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, McGill, New York University, University of Wisconsin at Madison, Stanford University, Syracuse, University of California at Berkeley, Irvine, and San Diego, University of Ottawa, and University of Texas at Austin and Houston (HSC 2009).

According to Arvind Rajagopol, in 2000 the HSC was producing literature that “[offered] a sanitized version of Hindu culture for youth who express a sense of cultural difference” and disseminating revisionist histories that conceive “Hindu culture [in an] immaculate form... [and that] ‘feudal’ and ‘foreign’ influences... contaminated what was once ‘perfect’,” storying Muslims and
Christians as unbelonging to the Hindu nation (Rajagopal 2000: 477).

The HSC’s activities in more recent times have been scrutinized with concern as well. In April 2007 and January 2008, the community group Campaign to Stop Funding Hate (CSFH) released two reports on the HSC’s Sangh Parivar connections, noting in a press statement that some chapters have “promoted divisive and sectarian speakers” and that Indo-American students sometimes may join without knowing of HSC’s “‘invisible’ connections” to Sangh politics (CSFH 2007; 2008a; 2008b). The agenda of the HSC at the national level appears not to be enacted homogeneously by its campus chapters. With the release of the CSFH report in 2007, while the national HSC leadership released a press release stating report authors to be “controversial individuals” (HSC 2007), the Stanford campus chapter held a discussion on the report’s content, producing two recommendations: 1) to ask the national HSC body to “retract its... rejoinder as it was of a personal nature” and 2) for the Stanford chapter to “recognize that organizations like CSFH can be valuable partners in helping us stay clear of fundamentalism, and thus, their activism is of great benefit and will act as our conscience” (Stanford HSC 2007).

Notes

10 E.g. non-Vedic, lower caste, syncretic.

11 According to earlier versions of the HSS website; chapter street locations have subsequently been removed.

12 The term “traditional” refers to something closer to “Brahmanical” in Hindu nationalist discourse, downplaying the diversity in South Asian traditions, a connection aided by histories of consolidations of Hindu identities in upper-caste terms-- e.g. see Agnes, Sudhir, and Basu 2004 for a scholarly examination of legal processes impacting women and religious identities in colonial India and Richard King’s 1999 discussion of the political and disciplinary coalescence of “Hindu” as referring to Advaita Vedanta.

13 “One Gujarati engineer and his family had packed up, sold their house and abruptly returned to India within a week of their daughter closeting herself in her room with her boyfriend one day for several hours... Telling me [Rajagopal] that story, Mehta drew the lesson. Without constant exposure to Hindu values, and a proper education in Hindu culture, youngsters were liable to go astray, and families would disintegrate. Here the VHP of America was able to step in...” (Rajagopal 2000: 474).

14 See the next section on donations to Sangh-affiliated charities.

15 According to Hinduunity.org’s domain name registration.
Charities: Funding Sangh Projects

In 2002, Sabrang Communications and South Asia Citizens Web co-published a report, “The Foreign Exchange of Hate,” which linked the Maryland-based India Development and Relief Fund (IDRF, identification number 52-1555563) to Sangh projects and groups in India (Sabrang and SACW 2002). The document reported that between 1994-2000, 50% of IDRF disbursements went to Sangh Parivar groups in India, and more than 80% of the relief and development work supported by the disbursements were “clearly sectarian in nature”; as well, the report found that the IDRF-funded groups “in at least three states in India that are directly involved in large scale violence against Muslim and Christian minorities” (Sabrang and SACW 2002: 6). The report was supported by more than 250 U.S.-based faculty of South Asia and contributed to the halt of employee donation matching to the IDRF by Silicon Valley corporations Cisco and Oracle (Swapan 2002).

Since 2002, IDRF has continued to channel millions of dollars to India, much of it to undisputed Sangh-affiliated development and relief groups with projects in India, including Akhil Bharatiya Vanvansi Kalyan Ashram, Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of India, Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of USA, Param Shakti Peeth, Sewa Bharti, and Sewa International (see Table 3).

The group’s distribution of charitable funds to India has concentrated in development and relief work, with significant emphasis in Adivasi (“first inhabitants”/tribal/indigenous) communities. Since the 1960s, the Sangh has been expanding development projects into tribal and rural areas in India, cultivating allegiance to the Hindu nation among the communities in these regions (Hansen 1999: 103; Independent People’s Tribunal 2007: 11-12). Others have spoken out in concern regarding the Sangh’s reference to Adivasis (first inhabitants) as Vanvasis (forest dwellers) in the Hindu nation, seeing this maneuver as a “deliberate policy of the Sangh to deny the tribals the status they deserve” (Philip 1999). In 2002, newspapers and human rights reports pointed with concern to the participation of Adivasis, Dalits, and lower caste communities in the anti-Muslim violence in Gujarat, noting that the Sangh’s work to cast Muslims as enemy to Adivasis and Dalits “diverts attention from the basic economic, social and political issues haunting the oppressed” (Chenoy et al 2002; Ilaiah 2002). Thus far, the poor brought into the Hindu nation’s social order, including Dalits and Adivasis, have appeared to serve as its foot soldiers in violent actions against Muslims (e.g. in the case of Gujarat 2002) and Christians (e.g. in the case of Orissa 2007-2008, see Chatterji 2008 and Independent People’s Tribunal 2007: 10-12). It is with this in mind that we examine the following chart of IDRF disbursements and fund recipients, to raise the necessity for clarifications and investigations into the effects of the following allocations.

On March 9, 2002, while news of the mass killings and attacks on Muslims in Gujarat by Sangh Parivar members were still in the Indian and international news media, the IDRF held a “Donor Appreciation” event at Sunnyvale Temple in California (Watson 2002). According to an India Post article covering the event, five hundred persons attended the dinner, and the event’s co-sponsors included the HSS, VHSA, and Ekal Vidyalaya. An HSS representative stated at the event that the “Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS), its counterpart in India was actively involved in building Gujarat back to its highest glory.” The same article states as well that Sewa Bharti, the RSS’s service wing, was the “main NGO selected by IDRF [to carry out relief work]” (India Post News Service 2002).
Table 3. IDRF Disbursements and Supported Organizations, 2002-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Disbursement</th>
<th>Top 5 Recipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$1,269,443 to 117 recipients</td>
<td>Manav Kalyan Trust - Kutch (Gujarat) - $52,780 Vikas Bharati Rashipur - Ranchi (Jharkhand) - $49,550 Akhil Bharatiya Vanvasi Kalyan Ashram - Jashpur Nagar (Chhattisgarh) - $48,760 Sookruti - Bhabaneswar (Orissa) - $48,165 Sarathi, Godhar Pushchim, Dahod (Gujarat) - $42,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$1,267,951 to 50+ recipients</td>
<td>Arya Samaj Chandhdham Charitable Trust, Chandhdham (Gujarat) - $102,120 Shree Banaskantha Anjana Patel Kelawani Mandal - Palampur (Gujarat) - $43,295 Akhil Bharatiya Vanvasi Kalyan Ashram - Jashpur Nagar (Chhattisgarh) - $43,000 Sewa Bharti - Bhopal, (Madhya Pradesh) - $42,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$1,325,773 to 94 recipients</td>
<td>Shree Banaskantha Anjana Patel Kelawani Mandal - Palampur (Gujarat) - $104,876 Shree Ram Gram Vikas Samiti - Nagauri - Meerut (Uttar Pradesh) - $104,333 Sevalia Arogya Mandal, Kheda (Gujarat) - $81,721 Arya Samaj Ganghdham - Kutch (Gujarat) - $78,000 Veevant Vidyapeeth - Bhuj (Gujarat) - $55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$2,484,160 to 91 recipients</td>
<td>Sewa International - Delhi - $298,301 Arya Samaj - Chandhdham (Gujarat) - $290,000 Sukh Ram Gram Vikas Trust - Kaliravan (Haryana) - $150,000 Shiksha Bharati - Hapur, UP - $120,000 UN Foundation, Washington, D.C., USA - $110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$1,759,319 to 58 recipients</td>
<td>Sewa International, Delhi - $391,100 Akhil Bharatiya Vanvasi Kalyan Ashram - Jaspur Nagar (Chattisgarh) - $142,300 Sukh Ram Gram Vikas Trust - Hisar (Haryana) - $100,000 Vivekananda Kendra International - Kanyakumari (Tamil Nadu) - $100,000 Vikas Bharati Bishenpur - Ranchi (Jharkhand) - $76,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$1,483,445 to 56 recipients</td>
<td>Maharaja Agrasen Shiksha Samiti - Agra (Uttar Pradesh) - $123,000 Vivekananda Yoga Anusandhana Samsthana - Bangalore (Karnataka) - $100,000 Akhil Bharatiya Vanvasi Kalyan Ashram - Jashpur Nagar (Chattisgarh) - $87,200 Sahaj Seva Samsth - Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh) - $79,500 Sewa Bharti Madhya Pradesh - Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh) - $79,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$1,255,960 to 43+ recipients</td>
<td>Sahaj Seva Samsthan (Hyderabad) - $83,300 Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of India (New Delhi) - $73,000 Rajesh Gangadhhar Patel Charchitable Trust (Gujarat) - $60,000 Akhil Bharatiya Vanvasi Kalyan Ashram (Chhattisgarh) - $55,000 Vivekananda Rural Development Society (Chennai) - $52,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$2,473,252 to 41+ recipients</td>
<td>Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of India (New Delhi) - $525,000 Bharat Kalyan Pratishtan (New Delhi) - $209,000 Param Shakti Peeth (Delhi) - $208,000 Jan Kalyan Shiksha Samiti (Delhi) - $66,000 Akhil Bharatiya Vanvasi Kalyan Ashram (Chhattisgarh) - $60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$1,864,075 to 50+ recipients</td>
<td>Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of India (New Delhi) - $335,000 Vivekananda Yoga Anusandhana Samsthana (Bengluru) - $199,000 Sewa International (New Delhi) - $91,000 Param Shakti Peeth (Delhi) - $85,000 L Muni Lal Bansal Charitable Trust (Jagraon, Panjab) - $83,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$1,039,780</td>
<td>No schedule of recipients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$1,086,600 to 33+ recipients</td>
<td>Maharaja Agrasen Technical Education Society (Himachal Pradesh) - $245,000 Sahaj Seva Samsth (Hyderabad) - $92,000 Samerth Charitable Trust (Gujarat) - $78,050 Janaagraha Centre for Citizenship and Democracy (Karnataka) - $75,000 Arpana Research and Charitable Trust (Harayana) - $63,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IDRF tax returns, Form 990, Schedule of Grants, 2002-2012.
Figures for total amount disbursed for 2008-2012 were from Part I, line 13.
The figure for Indify in 2011 was from Schedule I, Part II, part (a).
The 2002 report on the IDRF encouraged further scrutiny on other financial channels to the Sangh of India. In the United States, four additional major development-related charities (organizations channeling more than $100,000 annually to charitable projects) have connections to Sangh leaders in the U.S. or India: Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of USA (EVFA, tax identification number 77-0554248), Param Shakti Peeth (tax identification number 71-0916422, more information on page 20), Sewa International-USA (tax identification number 20-0638718), and the VHP of America. From 2001-2012, these five Sangh-affiliated charities allocated more than $55 million, largely to projects in India (see Table 4). These more-visible Sangh-affiliates, whose leadership overlaps with that of other U.S. Sangh groups (see Appendix A) do not mention the major Indian wings of the Sangh (RSS, VHP, Bajrang Dal) in their tax records, perhaps due to the intense critical scrutiny brought by the 2002 report on the IDRF. Attesting to the work that the Sangh has done for the last three decades among middle-class Indo-American communities, other charitable groups do clearly designate the Indian Sangh in their filings: for instance, according to respective tax returns, Hindu Charity Trust of Texas (identification number 51-0555401) donated $7,000 each to “Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation” and “RSS village schools” in 2006, and $14,000 to “VHP schools” in Gujarat in 2007, and Vivek Welfare and Educational Foundation (VWEF, identification number 59-3623323) allocated $10,000 to the “Vishwa Hindu Parishad” in 2006 for “education, medical aid and relief to the poor in India” (emphasis added).

Table 4. Monies Allocated to Program Services by Sangh-affiliated Charitable Groups, 2001-2012*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>EVF-USA</th>
<th>IDRF</th>
<th>VHP – America†</th>
<th>Sewa Int’l</th>
<th>Param Shakti Peeth of America</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,047,593</td>
<td>$963,689</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$788,500</td>
<td>$1,280,788</td>
<td>$383,191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$953,600</td>
<td>$1,285,644</td>
<td>$317,043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$1,795,880</td>
<td>$1,335,625</td>
<td>$280,909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$1,870,500</td>
<td>$2,495,265</td>
<td>$503,400</td>
<td>$146,159</td>
<td>$155,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$2,032,780</td>
<td>$1,759,319</td>
<td>$255,423</td>
<td>$293,930</td>
<td>$202,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$2,718,111</td>
<td>$1,483,445</td>
<td>$95,911</td>
<td>$94,863</td>
<td>$443,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$3,081,505</td>
<td>$1,255,960</td>
<td>$343,404</td>
<td>$305,002</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$2,910,820</td>
<td>$2,473,525</td>
<td>$192,961</td>
<td>$269,370</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$3,029,485</td>
<td>$1,864,075</td>
<td>$213,952</td>
<td>$303,079</td>
<td>$256,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$3,597,810</td>
<td>$1,039,780</td>
<td>$215,235</td>
<td>$1,226,407</td>
<td>$156,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$4,264,915</td>
<td>$1,086,600</td>
<td>$205,732</td>
<td>$759,785</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$27,043,906</td>
<td>$19,407,619</td>
<td>$3,970,850</td>
<td>$3,398,595</td>
<td>$1,903,753</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*For EVF-USA and IDRF: the figures are from IRS form 990, Part III, row f (2001-2007) and Part III, row 4e (2008-2012).
†For VHP-America, the figures are from IRS form 990, Part III, row a + b (2001-2007) and Part III, row 4a + 4b (2008-2012), for charitable donations going to projects likely taking place in India.
Figure 3. Percentage Breakdown of Total Program Expenditures of 5 Sangh Charitable Groups, 2001-2012
Total expenditure: $55+ million

Figure 4. Program Expenditures of Five Sangh Charitable Groups, by Year, 2001-2012
Param Shakti Peeth is the U.S. wing of Vatsalya Gram, an Indian charity undertaken by VHP leader Sadhvi Rithambhara (Vatsalya Gram 2010). Rithambhara was among the defendants charged in 2005 by a Central Bureau of Investigation court for crimes of “provok[ing] people into rioting, arson and indulging in rioting with intent to create disorder” in relation to the mass violence against Muslims connected to the destruction of the Babri Mosque in 1992 (The Hindu 2005; Puniyani 2003: 137).

What happens to the monies allocated by Sangh charities to India? The 2004 Awaaz-South Asia Watch report on the U.K.-based HSS’s Sewa International found that the earthquake relief work funded and carried out by Sangh-affiliates in Gujarat included discrimination against Muslims and Dalits, threats against the workers from other relief groups, and the building of schools that propagate the Sangh’s ideology (Awaaz 2004: 17-32).

Two examples of projects funded in India by U.S.-based Sangh affiliates point to the need for further investigation: the Lodai project and the Ekal Vidyalayas (one teacher schools) in tribal and rural regions.

Lodai: While VHPA Executive Vice President Gaurang G. Vaishnav has claimed that the VHPA is “independent of any organization, whether in the US or anywhere else, legally, organizationally and fiscally,” VHPA-VHP cooperation is clear in the case of Lodai, an earthquake-struck village in Gujarat (Vaishnav 2008). According to a letter sent by VHPA President Jyotish Parekh to the United States Commission on International Religious Freedom on June 12, 2002, the VHP of America’s Gujarat earthquake relief work included adopting the village of Lodai (Parekh 2002). Edward Simpson and Stuart Corbridge’s 2006 study, published in the Annals of the Association of American Geographers, found that the “VHP took care to rebuild Lodai as Keshav Nagar, or Krishna’s city” where “Muslims and [scheduled caste persons] who previously lived in the village of Lodai had not been allowed to settle within the gates of the new community”; Lodai’s Muslim and lower caste communities were aided by another charitable group, receiving “plainly inferior accommodation... once it was clear that the VHP’s plans for Keshav Nagar were geared only to caste Hindus” (Simpson and Corbridge 2006: 579). India-based community groups and news media have corroborated reports of discrimination as well in the Sangh Parivar’s earthquake relief work.

Ekal Vidyalayas: In addition to discriminatory distribution of relief resources in India, donations to Sangh development projects may also be used for purposes different from how they are framed in tax materials and donation appeals. For instance, the stated goal of the Ekal Vidyalaya (“One Teacher School”) Foundation of USA (EVFA, identification number 77-0554248) is to “eradicate illiteracy” and contribute to “self-development” in Adivasi and rural areas, according to its website; the discourse is one of development and poverty reduction (Ekal Vidyalaya 2014). In India, the VHP leadership has publicly stated that Ekal Vidyalayas train tribals to confront the (Hindu) nation’s internal and external enemies. In March 2008, VHP General Secretary Praveen Togadia, a Sangh leader at the national level, urged the Indian government “to involve tribals in the battle against Maoists,” stating that the VHP had implemented 23,000 Ekal Vidyalayas and that “the Maoist threat did not exist in villages where VHP’s schools were operating” (Press Trust of India 2008). Eight years earlier, Togadia was already stating that the VHP would establish Ekal Vidyalayas “to prevent conversions [from Hinduism] and to check subversive activities of the inter-services intelligence (ISI) of Pakistan [Pakistan’s
intelligence agency]” (The Times of India 2000).

The Ekal Vidyalaya organization is also linked with sub-state institutions of national defense. According to a June 2010 Ekal Vidyalaya newsletter: the organization also supports the state-sanctioned paramilitary Village Defence Committees (VDCs)¹⁸ in the region of Kashmir by including VDCs of Jammu on the itinerary of its Ekal Global Learning tours. These tours bring Ekal Vidyalaya leadership and volunteers to India to meet and form relations with people there (Ekal Vidyalaya 2010). This national security and anti-conversion discourse is not strongly emphasized in the Ekal Vidyalaya’s online materials.

The Sabrang-SACW and Awaaz reports both pointed to links between Sangh work in tribal areas and the increase in Adivasi participation in Sangh-led violence against minorities (Sabrang and SACW 2002: 18-24; Awaaz 2004: 33-34). In 2005, Avdhash Kaushal from the Government of India’s Ministry of Human Resource Development released a report on the Ekal Vidyalayas and its partner organization, the Friends of Tribal Society (Vanbandhu Parishad), charging them with “misusing... funds, and using the [government] grants for creating disharmony amongst religious groups and creating a political cadre,” resulting in the end of government funding to these schools (Joshua 2005; Kaushal 2005). Adivasi and other human rights activists have also reported that the Ekal Vidyalayas appear to function as a “vanguard” of Sangh expansion into tribal areas (Gopalakrishnan and Sreenivasa 2007; Independent People’s Tribunal 2007: 85-89).

It is difficult to believe that the U.S. Ekal Vidyalaya leadership and its major donors can be unaware of the Sangh of India and its politics; according to publicly available tax returns, the EVFA board of directors at one time included Sangh-affiliated Ramesh Shah (a Vice President of Overseas Friends of the Bharatiya Janata Party, see below and OFBJP 2009), Jyotish Parekh (VHPA President, according to VHPA’s 2008 tax return) and VHPA Founder and former president Mahesh Mehta (Saigal 2004). A separate organization, Ekal Vidyalaya Global, Inc. (identification number 205356631) was registered in 2008; according to its application for tax-exempt status (form 1023), its founding board of directors included billionaire Bhupendra Kumar Modi as chairperson and member Mahesh Mehta. Modi and Mehta also served as founding board chairperson and vice-chairperson, respectively, of the U.S.-based VHP-Overseas, according to the VHPO’s tax application for tax-exempt status.

Other signs of Sangh affiliation on the Ekal Vidyalaya website include its list of partners in India, such as the RSS’s service wing Sewa Bharti (see Ekal Vidyalaya 2009) and photographs from its online gallery such as the one below, which clearly indicate a connection between Ekal Vidyalaya’s Gujarat partner, Bharatiya Jan Sewa Sansthan, and the Vishwa Hindu Parishad, in Gujarati:

A fragment of a photograph from the Gujarat photo gallery on Ekal Vidyalaya’s website.
Translation of the first three lines in Gujarati: “Bharatiya Jan Sewa Sansthan / Gujarat / Vishwa Hindu Parishad” (Ekal Vidyalaya 2007).
The need to clarify the Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation of USA’s connections to the Sangh’s actions in India has been brought up by others, such as Priya Abraham of the Institute on Religion and Policy (Abraham 2009). As of June 2012, Ekal Vidyalaya of America continues to hold fundraisers and channel funds to India (Ekal Vidyalaya 2012).

Notes

16 In 2004, the U.K. community group Awaaz-South Asia Watch linked Sewa International’s U.K. chapter to Sangh projects in India in its report, “In Bad Faith?: British Charity and Hindu Extremism.”

17 The Indian People’s Tribunal report on the Gujarat earthquake aftermath, released August 2001, also refers to an investigation conducted by the Indian Social Action Forum, which stated: “The role of the RSS in getting relief to the upper castes among the Hindus in particular has been blatant. In Anjar, for example, the well-tended RSS camp which houses only Hindus and barring a handful of exceptions, only caste Hindus, sits cheek by jowl with another in which the far poorer population of the homeless Anjaries - Muslims mostly and a substantial number of lower caste Hindus - live. All of this is being accepted without question and rationalised on the basis that we cannot expect anything other than that people will ‘naturally’ help ‘their own’” (IPT 2001). As of 2004, news media also circulated this understanding, as with this Frontline article: “Reports [in the aftermath of the Gujarat earthquake in 2001] allege that the RSS distributed relief selectively to upper-caste victims, neglecting Dalits and Muslims. The RSS also organised shakhas [local chapters] in relief camps. At Adhoi village, Vishwa Hindu Parishad (VHP) preachers gave lectures every night on the need to be vigilant against Christians and Muslims. RSS volunteers allegedly threatened other relief workers with harm unless they left Kutch” (Bunsha 2004).

18 From the International People’s Tribunal on Human Rights and Justice in Kashmir report, Buried Evidence: “VDCs are made operational by security forces and supported by the state. VDC members are recruited by Hindu nationalist/militant groups, and are organized as civilian ‘self-defence’ campaigns and militias. In the understanding of local communities these campaigns are staged as retribution for anti-national activities. A network of VDCs has been instituted throughout the Jammu region and in certain parts of Kashmir. VDC personnel are predominantly of Hindu and Sikh descent, and in some instances include Muslim villagers deemed ‘trustworthy’ by VDC personnel” (IPTK 2009: 17).
Hindutva in Academic Arenas

Hindutva relies on notions of a “Hindu culture” that is “simplified, easily comprehensible, and commonly accessible,” a “set of common symbolic denominators acceptable across sects and castes... in consonance with ‘the Spirit of Hinduism and the Hindu Nation’” (Hansen 1999: 102; Thapar 2000b). In academic disciplines that focus on India and Hinduism, especially religious studies and history, Hindu nationalist discourses are aided by an already-prevalent “Western Orientalist tendency to establish [the upper-caste tradition of] Advaita [Vedanta] as the ‘central theology of Hinduism’... [helping] in the perpetuation of the view, both in India and abroad, that Hindu thought is Vedanta and little else” (King 1999: 135). Hindu nationalist investment in Brahmanical traditions and Vedic studies— including financial and social support for research into scripture, medicine, astrology and other fields connected to the Vedas— is supported by the Orientalist grain of “focusing upon [sacred texts] as the essential foundation for understanding the Hindu people as a whole,” resulting “inevitably... [in] the denigration of heterogeneous Hindu beliefs and practices as ‘distortions’ of the basic teaches of Vedanta” (King 1999: 101, 135). This maneuver downplays the complex histories of resistance to caste oppression and non-Vedic lives (Thapar 2000a); the cultural exchanges between India, Rome, China, and other parts of the world from the early centuries of the Common Era (Thapar 1966: 109-121); the non-conquest arrival of Zoroastrians (Thapar 1966: 172); and the ongoing disintegration of tribal cultural worlds (Devi 1995).

The Sangh’s efforts in higher education include the establishment and strengthening of academic and para-academic institutions and projects connected to Hinduism, religious studies, Indic studies, psychology, Ayurvedic medicine, yoga, and technologies connected to the Vedic times. As Hindu nationalist histories and narratives are confronted and refuted by historians and scholars like Arjun Appadurai, Angana Chatterji, Steve Farmer, Dwijendra Narayan Jha, Romila Thapar, Kamala Viswaswaran, and Michael Witzel, Sangh-affiliated groups have bolstered their positions by funding institutions and projects in higher education, including a religious college, a biennial conference, and grantmaking foundations. These activities draw upon the experiences, resources and abilities of middle-class and professional segments of the diaspora, while continuing a trend of the Indian nationalist and upper-class elite to assert claims of a “Hindu” spiritual superiority in the context of political subordination (Chatterjee 1993: 121).

Hindu University of America (HUA, identification number 59-2977691) provides institutional support for persons in academic fields prioritized by the Sangh. The school is an educational wing of the VHPA, with its conceptualization in 1985 noted as a significant occurrence in VHPA history (VHRA 2008). The school was granted tax-exempt status in 1992, and its tax records included records of donations from “VHP”. According to its 2004 tax return, Hindu University board of directors includes:

1. Braham Aggarwal - Secretary and Treasurer of the Florida chapter of the VHPA 2000-2004 (Florida Secretary of State 2000-2004)
2. Ram P. Agarwal - President of the Florida chapter of the VHPA 2000-2004 (Florida Secretary of State 2000-2004)
3. Mahesh Mehta - Founder and former president of VHPA at the national level (Saigal 2004)

Hindu University’s July 2003 newsletter names two other Sangh leaders as members of the board:
Through coursework and degrees offered, Hindu University of America focuses on the following areas: Hinduism, Hindu Philosophy, Yoga Philosophy and Meditation, Yoga Education, Sanskrit Studies, Vedic Astrology, Sri Aurobindo Studies, and Ayurveda, continuing to prioritize these cultural practices and forms as “Hindu” (Hindu University of America 2010b). The school was incorporated in Florida in 1989 and began providing correspondence courses in 1993, then implemented residential curricula in October of 2002 (Kolapen 2002: 312-313). The school awards graduate-level degrees, though it is not presently accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS), the regional accreditation body for institutions of higher education in Florida (SASC 2013). In 2003, according to a March 2004 Hindu University newsletter, the school awarded its first master’s degree in Vedic Astrology, and its first doctorate of Hindu Studies in “Yoga Philosophy and Meditation” in 2005 (Hindu University of America 2005). According to its 2007 tax return, it received more than $470,000 in contributions and a little more than $50,000 in program revenue, while spending more than $225,000 toward its operations. Of note are the donations of Sangh-affiliate Vivek Welfare and Educational Foundation to the Hindu University (see Figure 6).

The VWEF’s connections to the Sangh are clear; its donation recipients include: Hindu University of America, Param Shakti Peeth, Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America, Sewa Bharti (the RSS’s relief and social service wing in India) and HSS, as documented in the VWEF tax returns from 2000-2003. Per its 2004 tax return, its board of directors includes: IDRF founder Vinod Prakash, VHPA leader Mahesh Mehta, and Braham Aggarwal (of Hindu University of America and VHPA, see Appendix A). According to VWEF’s tax returns from 2006-2012, Hindu American Foundation leaders have served on the VWEF board as well: Suhag Shukla from 2006-2008 and Nikhil Joshi from 2009-2012 (see section below).

In contrast to VWEF, Infinity Foundation (identification number 22-3339826) supports Hindutva by distributing its monies in a more diffuse manner: through offering book grants and concentrating funding on university departments and other academic institutions. The Foundation has not claimed to be part of the Sangh Parivar, though the Foundation’s tax returns indicate that the organization has donated to U.S. Sangh affiliates Hindu American Foundation (2007), World Association for Vedic Studies (2007, 2008), California Parents for the Equalization of Educational Materials (2008), and the Educator’s Society for the Heritage of India (2008), each of which are discussed elsewhere in this report. Infinity Foundation’s other funding recipients include: Delhi-based Center for the Study of Developing Societies, the Sanskrit and Indian Studies Department at Harvard University, Columbia University’s Department of Religion, and Association for Asian Studies (see Table 5). In the case of Harvard, Infinity Foundation’s gift of $50,000+ corresponded with a “visiting position in Indic Studies at Harvard University, in its Department of Sanskrit and Indian Studies,” imbued with the social standing of an academic position in the Sanskrit and Indian Studies Department at Harvard University (Infinity Foundation 2003). More recent writings by Malhotra indicate that the Infinity Foundation is likely no longer giving monies to Harvard University’s humanities departments that focus on South Asia (Malhotra 2010).
The status of a grantmaking foundation can also serve as a launchpad in efforts to discredit and target academics. Infinity Foundation president Rajiv Malhotra has channeled considerable effort writing against scholars like Angana Chatterji and Wendy Doniger, attacks that Martha Nussbaum has characterized as "sarcastic and intemperate... [showing] little concern about factual accuracy," and while Malhotra has not openly advocated violence, his "irresponsible characterizations of other people’s work have done much to foster a climate in which such threats can be made” (Malhotra and Neelakandran 2011: location 4327; Nussbaum 2007: 248, 257). There are several scholars, targets of Malhotra, who have functioned as a warning to others considering research connected to South Asia.

1. According to Infinity Foundation’s 2002 tax return, the foundation gave $14,500 to California Institute of Integral Studies (CIIS) that year, when Malhotra wrote an opinion piece against institute faculty Angana Chatterji, implying she is a “sepoys” and “Macaulayite” (Malhotra 2002). Reportedly, the foundation was negotiating an endowment with the school that year as well, and the negotiations were unsuccessful.

2. Paul Courtright received multiple threats of violence from others after Malhotra wrote about him (Vedantam 2004).

3. Claremont McKenna professor Cynthia Humes reported that she received “a barrage of Internet venom by colleagues of Malhotra” after she delivered a paper on Malhotra’s use “of philanthropy to influence members of the academy” (Humes 2006).

4. Jeffery Kripal, after receiving death threats and becoming the target of a failed campaign to have Rice University rescind a job offer, now makes minimal reference to India in his work (Nussbaum 2007: 251).

Though it is uncertain how many times such strategies have been utilized at other schools, the chilling effect on scholarship and scholarly debate has been real, and further research is necessary to document the effects of Infinity...
Foundation’s grantmaking (see Table 5 a list of major allocations from 2001-2013).

While certain scholars and scholarship are targeted by the Infinity Foundation, others are given support. One of the Infinity Foundation’s Sangh-affiliated donation recipients in 2006 is the World Association for Vedic Studies (WAVES, identification number 72-1350935), which holds a conference every two years to enable Hindu nationalist proponents to showcase and circulate their work. WAVES aims, as stated on its application for tax-exempt status (form 1023), “to conduct multidisciplinary activities for research and study of Vedic and ancient Indian traditions including its history, philosophy, science, psychology, literature, scriptures, linguistics, [and] archaeology...”

According to the WAVES Articles of Incorporation, the founding board of directors consisted of: Bhu Dev Sharma, one-time president of Hindu University (Hindu University of America 2010a), Hindu University adjunct faculty members Subhash C. Kak, David Frawley, and Klaus Klostermaier (Hindu University of America 2004: 2), Shiva G. Bajpai of California (who played an important part in the Sangh’s textbook campaign in 2005-2006, see Visweswaran et al 2009: 106-107 and Maira and Swamy 2006).

In July of 2006, WAVES’s three-day conference took place at the University of Houston in Texas, hosting approximately 150 scholars and 1000 participants, according to a conference press release (Kulkarni 2006). Noted as the sixth in a series of international conferences, the gathering featured presentations in the fields of history, scripture analysis, politics, medicine, and spirituality, spheres where Hindu nationalism is, or is becoming, a major contending force. Despite the claim in WAVES’s application for non-profit status (form 1023) that the organization “is and shall be a non-sectarian, multidisciplinary academic organization and would not be governed by any ideology,” the chairman of the local conference organizing committee, Subhash Gupta, is an HSS leader in Houston,22 and the gathering hosted several key Hindu nationalist figures (WAVES 2006a). Among the conference’s supporting organizations are other Sangh affiliates: Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh, Param Shakti, and the VHP of America (WAVES 2006b). The themes of WAVES presenters requires further exploration, to examine the Sangh narratives energized through this para-academic space.

Notes

19 Dwijendra Narayan Jha stated before the Indian History Congress, which had just elected him as its General President for its 66th session: “Historians cannot be the custodians of religion: our task is to critically examine it” (Jha 2006: 47).

20 A distinguished historian of Ancient India, Romila Thapar is a Professor Emerita of Jawaharlal Nehru University and was appointed the first holder of the Kluge Chair in Countries and Cultures of the South at U.S. Library of Congress (Library of Congress 2003). Thapar has written and spoken to debunk and critique Sangh narratives that support homogenized identities and justify Hindutva’s violence (see Thapar 2000a, b, c, Thapar and Mukta 2000, Thapar and Witzel 2006).

21 Scholars and faculty of and from South Asia have often supported anti-Sangh campaigns, as with the case of the Campaign to Stop Funding Hate report on the IDRF in 2002 (Swapan 2002) and the California textbook controversy in 2005-2006 (Visweswaran et al 2009: 101).

22 In a July 2006 Indo-American News article on the WAVES conference, Subhash Gupta is identified as “the present Vice President of Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh (HSS) and a past President of the Vishwa Hindu Parishad of America (VHPA) Texas Chapter” (Giri 2006).
### Table 5. Infinity Foundation Allocations, 2001-2013 (Selected)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Contributions, Gifts, and Grants Paid</th>
<th>Selected Recipients of $10,000 or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$345,945</td>
<td>Association for Asian Studies ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; Auroville International ($27,500) &lt;br&gt; Harvard University ($51,200) &lt;br&gt; Indian Institute for Forest Management ($13,500) &lt;br&gt; Tibet House ($67,060) &lt;br&gt; University of Hawaii ($26,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$312,790</td>
<td>Association for Asian Studies ($16,000) &lt;br&gt; California Institute of Integral Studies (CIIS) ($14,500) &lt;br&gt; Center for the Study of Developing Societies ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; Columbia University, American Institute of Buddhist Studies ($15,000) &lt;br&gt; Columbia University, Department of Religion ($10,600) &lt;br&gt; University of Hawaii, Department of Philosophy ($41,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$390,054</td>
<td>Center for the Study of Developing Societies ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; Columbia University, Department of Religion ($66,300) &lt;br&gt; Harvard University- Sanskrit and Indian Studies ($53,500) &lt;br&gt; Malagiri Sri Aurobindo Center ($15,000) &lt;br&gt; Melbourne University and Sophia International affiliate [Name redacted] ($16,600) &lt;br&gt; National Institute of Advanced Studies- Bangalore, India ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; Rutgers University Foundation- Religion Department ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; Tibet House ($33,990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$208,193</td>
<td>Center for the Study of Developing Societies ($22,650) &lt;br&gt; Mahila Haat ($14,600) &lt;br&gt; Matagiri Sri Aurobindo Center - Auroville- New York ($11,000) &lt;br&gt; University of Hawaii, Department of Philosophy ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; Virginia Commonwealth University ($32,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$107,310</td>
<td>All India Movement Aim for Seva ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; Center for the Study of Developing Societies ($13,000) &lt;br&gt; University of Hawaii, Department of Philosophy ($12,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$55,600</td>
<td>Mahila Haat History Series/Seminar ($34,350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$74,013</td>
<td>Graduate of University of Texas, Austin [Name redacted] – Writing Grant – ($17,500) &lt;br&gt; Mahila Haat History Series/Seminar ($33,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$109,076</td>
<td>Arsha Vidya Gurukulam ($15,000) &lt;br&gt; Mahila Haat ($27,500) &lt;br&gt; [Name redacted] – Research and Writing - U Turn Theory ($13,938.75) &lt;br&gt; [Names redacted] – Writing an Academic Book ($15,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$106,356</td>
<td>Arsha Vidya Gurukulam ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; [Name redacted] – Research and Writing - U Turn Theory ($17,849) &lt;br&gt; [Name redacted] – U Turn Theory ($15,459.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$88,633</td>
<td>[Name redacted] – Gent University Research Center – Research Grant ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; University of Mass – Dartmouth, Center for Indic Studies ($10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$100,999</td>
<td>Arsha Vidya Gurukulam ($10,000) &lt;br&gt; [Name redacted] – Research Scholar and Editor ($14,880) &lt;br&gt; [Name redacted] – Research Scholar and Editor ($31,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$16,779</td>
<td>No grants $10,000 or more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$34,581</td>
<td>[Name redacted] – Research Scholar and Editor ($17,083)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $1,950,329

Source: Total disbursement figures are from IRS form 990-PF, Part I, row 25 (2001-2013). Recipient information is from grantee lists included with each tax return.
Leadership in Indo-American Communities

Though those who participate in the Sangh’s service work in India have often sought to be “aloof from politics” (a phrase used by M.S. Golwalkar, quoted in Jaffrelot 1996: 62), Hindutva-supporting public relations and lobbying groups and Sangh public campaigns have made their presence felt with increasing intensity and widening scope over the last few years in the United States. With the escalation of Sangh violence in India over the last two decades, a network of U.S. Sangh groups has emerged to enable associations with the Sangh that are socially and politically acceptable and desirable, given that a powerful segment of its constituency are “well-to-do US-based professionals... likely to be wary of controversy” (Rajagopal 2000: 475). Below are some of such organizations and a few of the major activities and events that mark the Sangh’s rise as leaders in Indo-American communities.

One of the key Hindu nationalist political parties at the national level in India, the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), receives support from the Overseas Friends of the BJP (OFBJP), whose U.S. chapter’s website states that it aims to “[project] a positive and correct image of India and its people in the in the U.S. and foreign media” (OFBJP 2012). The OFBJP, which is not a tax-exempt group, appears to be able to mobilize a significant level of resources from the upper-classes of the diaspora; when then-Indian Prime Minister A. B. Vajpayee visited New York in 2000--when the BJP led the coalition government that ruled India-- the OFBJP organized a “Hindutva fête” with a reported cost of approximately $400,000 (Someshwar 2000). Since then, it has continued to raise the profile of the BJP and the Sangh with Indo-Americans and U.S. politicians. On March 21, 2002, three major Indo-American community groups— the Association of Indians in America (AIA), the Indian American Forum for Political Education, and the National Federation of Indian Associations (NFIA)— worked with the OFBJP to sponsor a Congressional luncheon on Capitol Hill, even as anti-Muslim violence in BJP-ruled Gujarat were still filling Indian and international news, including reports of complicity on the parts of BJP leaders and police (Association of Indians in America 2002; Bedi 2002).

OFBJP figures were also among the group that planned to honor Gujarat Chief Minister Narendra Modi at the Madison Square Garden Theater in 2005, the Association of Indian Americans of North America (Narendramodi.net 2005). Modi had also been invited by the Asian American Hotel Owners Association (AAHOA) to address its annual convention that year (Sen 2005). The news of his possible visit to the U.S. prompted vocal and widespread protests from community groups, scholars, and organizations supporting religious freedom and pluralism, who formed the Coalition Against Genocide (see CAG 2005; 2012). On March 18, 2005, the U.S. State Department denied Modi a diplomatic visa, as his visit did not qualify for one, and revoked his tourist and business visa under the Immigration and Nationality Act Section 212(a)(2)(g), as a government official who “was responsible for carrying out... severe violations of religious freedom” (Mulford 2005). The Hindu American Foundation23 (HAF, identification number 68-0551525), among other groups, protested Narendra Modi’s ban in a public demonstration (Joseph 2005).

Before 2005, Hindu nationalism’s public campaigns included protesting the representations of Hindu gods in the TV show Xena: Princess Warrior and a campaign to remove the images of Hindu deities from toilet seats led by VHPA’s American Hindus Against Defamation (AHAD) and Indiacause (Shankar 1999; Indiacause 2000). Such campaigns appeared to rally a wider
segment of middle-class diasporic communities and involved the distribution of public statements to the media and letter-writing campaigns, largely mobilized online, bolstering the Sangh’s authority 1) to assess the accuracy of Hindu representations and 2) to speak for certain segments of Hindus. In 2005, this project of correct representations expanded as many of the groups named thus far were among the 80 that formed the Hindu Council Initiative, including HSS, VHPA, HSC, Educators’ Society for the Heritage of India (ESHI, identification number 20-1200065), Hindu International Council Against Defamation (HICAD, identification number 22-3810334), Hindu University, and Barsana Dham (now JKP Radha Madhav Dham)/Vedic Foundation (identification number 74-2673063). One explicit goal of this council is to “[correct] biased and distorted views of the Hindu traditions in educational institutions and media” (Jha 2005).

In 2005–2006, many of these groups or their members were part of a campaign to insert Hindu nationalist histories into California textbooks, including the downplaying of certain gender and caste oppressions (more on the California textbook campaign see Visweswaran et al 2009, Maira and Swamy 2006 and Taneja 2006). This time the Sangh’s mobilization was extensive: at the forefront were HSS-run Hindu Education Foundation, the Vedic Foundation, and Hindu American Foundation, backed by families, youth, other U.S.-based temple and community groups. The Vedic Foundation also hired a public relations firm, Ruder Finn (Burress 2006a).

After South Asia scholars, South Asian community groups, Dalit and tribal groups raised objections, the State Board of Education refused to accept most of the Sangh’s proposed edits in California textbooks (see Thapar and Witzel 2006 and Visweswaran et al 2009). At this time, the Hindu American Foundation retained the law firm Olson, Hagel and Fishburn to sue the California Board of Education at the state level while California Parents for the Equalization of Educational Materials (CAPEEM, identification number 56-2565521) was formed to sue the State Board of Education at the federal level (Burress 2006b; CAPEEM 2006). According to their 2007 tax returns, the HSS and the Infinity Foundation each donated $5000 to CAPEEM, aligning with CAPEEM’s goals. The HAF case ended in September 2006, with the court “dismiss[ing] Hindus’ claim of bias” (Burress 2006c). In February 2009, a court ruled against CAPEEM’s allegations of bias in relation to textbook content (Swapan 2009), and the federal case was closed officially in June 2009 (Joseph 2009).

With the HAF and CAPEEM cases fading from widespread attention after 2006 and both failing to establish bias against Hindus, the Sangh seems to be backing away from public legal strategies and investing more energy into building a public relations infrastructure—networks of information and resources to bolster the Sangh’s versions of culture and identity and its legitimacy to speak on behalf of Hindus to U.S. audiences. The HAF continues to serve in such a role (see Table 6).

The Educators’ Society for the Heritage of India (ESHI) is another. Like the Vedic Foundation and HSS’s education wing Hindu Education Foundation, ESHI is an organization that seeks to “[provide] authoritative information and resources related to India’s heritage, culture, history, Dharma, philosophy, theology and languages, to schools and colleges, teachers, curriculum developers, authors, publishers, education officials, policy-makers, media, interfaith organizations, non-profit organizations, leaders and the public in North America” (ESHI 2009). Its leadership, from its “About Us” webpage, includes VHPA and Hindu
University leaders: Mahesh Mehta (VHPA), Vice Presidents include Beth Kulkarni (VHPA, Hindu University), and Abhinav Dwivedi (Hindu University). ESHI leader, Ved Chaudhary, is also a contact for HICAD and the registrant for its website (HICAD 2011).

Despite the volume of evidence presented by politicized community groups connecting the U.S. groups to Hindu nationalist politics, faculty testimonies against the Sangh’s textbook campaign, and the objections of lower-caste communities—support of, and indifference to, the Sangh’s politics continues to circulate in Indo-American communities.

Notes

23 Despite the claim that the “Hindu American Foundation is not affiliated with any religious or political organizations or entities” on its website, HAF president Mihir Mehan is identified on the VHSA website as a former VHSA National Governing Council member and as a member of the HSS by the defenders of the IDRF (HAF 2014c; Rao et al 2003; VHSA 2001). More of HAF’s Hindu nationalist connections can be found in Table 6.

24 CAPEEM’s application for tax-exempt status (form 1023) states that HICAD “supports the objectives of CAPEEM and is raising funds for CAPEEM.”

25 Testimonies and letters (one signed by 47 academics of ancient India and another signed by 109 South Asia faculty) were once hosted at Southasiafaculty.net. Some letters can still be found on Friends of South Asia’s California Textbook pages (Friends of South Asia 2012).

26 During the 2005-2006 textbook controversy, members of a Guru Ravidass Gurudwara community in California testified before the California State Board of Education against the Sangh’s edits. The Guru Ravidass Gurudwaras of California was among other South Asian groups that submitted an amicus curiae brief in opposition to the HAF lawsuit in 2006 (Ambedkar Center for Justice and Peace et al 2006).
## Table 6. Hindu American Foundation’s Hindu Nationalist Connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Connections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>HAF co-founder Mihir Meghani was on the VHP of America Governing Council and a member of the HSS (VHPA 2001; Rao et al 2003).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>HAF supported the HSS’s educational wing Hindu Education Foundation and Vedic Foundation in the California textbooks controversy and brought a lawsuit against the California State Board of Education (Visweswaran et al 2009: 108).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-20012</td>
<td>According to Vivek Welfare and Educational Foundation’s tax records, HAF leaders have served on the VWEF board: Suhag Shukla from 2006-2008 and Nikhil Joshi from 2009-2012. During these years the VWEF contributed funds to Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation, HSS, Hindu University, Param Shakti Peeth, Sewa Bharti Bhopal, and Vishwa Hindu Parishad.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2009</td>
<td>Sheetal Shah, Development and Outreach Director of HAF, attended and spoke at the “Hindu Dharma Sabha” in New Jersey, organized by Forum for Hindu Awakening and self-identified Hindu nationalist group Hindu Janajagruti Samiti (News India-Times 2009).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>HAF and the VHPA’s Hindu Mandir Executive Council collaborated on a Hindu Chaplaincy Program (VHPA 2012).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2012</td>
<td>HAF co-founder Aseem Shukla wrote an opinion piece, “Absurd to deny Modi a US visa” in India Abroad (Shukla 2012).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2013</td>
<td>HAF was among the groups lobbying U.S. Representative Mike Honda to stop his support of the continued visa denial of Narendra Modi (Jha 2013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>VHPA leaders Kavita Pallod and Rishi Bhutada are on the HAF Executive Council and Board of Directors, respectively (HAF 2014a). Both have served as directors of a VHPA youth camp in Texas (Chugh 2012; Giri 2005).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further Steps

So far we have looked at four general areas where the U.S.-based Sangh Parivar and its allies have concentrated a significant amount of resources and labor among more affluent segments of diasporic communities. Though there is increasing availability of newspaper coverage, academic research, and human rights and government reports on the Sangh’s violent actions in South Asia, support for the Sangh remains strong among elite and middle-class leaders and families in the diaspora. The available tax materials (charted in this report) indicate that participation in the Sangh’s public programs and donations to its non-profit wings have continued.

Given the available information on the U.S.-based Sangh organizations and the continued discrimination, threat, and violence carried out by the India-based Sangh, some urgent questions that need investigation and clarification include:

1. Legal culpability of U.S.-based Sangh groups and members in Sangh-led violent acts in South Asia. Independent and transparent investigations are necessary to confirm or refute the U.S.-Sangh’s responsibility in any crimes committed overseas. Relevant U.S. laws may include the Alien Tort Claims Act (28 U.S. Code 1350) and Conspiracy to Kill, Kidnap, Maim, or Injure Persons or Damage Property in a Foreign Country (18 U.S. Code 956). Further research is needed into the exact nature of the decision-making and forms of collaboration between U.S. and India-based Sangh groups. If further investigations find any of the above groups to be legally responsible for violence in South Asia, those culpable must be held accountable and answer to those that have been brutalized.

2. Possible violations of 501(c)(3) regulations and restrictions. As noted in the above section on the Ekal Vidyalaya educational project, newspaper and testimonies from community activists indicate the possibility that the one-teacher schools function to recruit tribal youths into the Sangh’s anti-conversion and anti-minority activities through this literacy campaign. Independent verification of U.S. Sangh’s compliance with 501(c)(3) regulations is important, in order to a) end non-compliant activities connected to discrimination and violence; b) support transparency in charitable funding; c) document the exact flows and uses of resources and monies and their effects in the U.S. and South Asia; and d) enhance public understanding of where and how the U.S. Sangh operates. Should non-compliance with 501(c)(3) regulations be found, authorities will be notified for further verification and action as appropriate.

While there is no international consensus on standards of transparency for non-profit relief/development organizations, international projects such as the Global Reporting Initiative have developed guidelines that can provide a starting point in discussing possible standards and protocols for assessing and documenting an organization’s human rights protections and violations (Global Reporting Initiative 2010).

3. The involvement of other U.S.-based actors in supporting Hindu nationalist extremism. The U.S. Sangh’s work is linked to other individuals and organizations that may not explicitly identify themselves as members of Sangh family, as with Infinity Foundation. Research into Sangh actions are needed in the corporate business world (including corporate development projects and professional associations), in connection with foreign direct investments to South Asia, in temple and regional-linguistic communities, and in lobbying U.S. and Indian politicians at all levels. Further investigations are needed...
into the fundraising circuits that are enabled and provided for Indian Sangh leaders in the above areas. Various community groups have already produced reports, issued statements, and led efforts to raise public awareness about the U.S. Sangh’s politics: Campaign to Stop Funding Hate, Coalition Against Genocide, Friends of South Asia, Federation of Tamil Sangams of North America, Indian American Muslim Council, South Asia Citizens Web and Wire, faculty of Southasiafaculty.net, and other activists and scholars (Sabrang and SACW 2002; CAG 2005; CSFH 2007). Raising public awareness and energizing discussion and debate on the Sangh’s role in Indo-American communities may help to inform effective and timely responses on the part of communities and U.S.-based leaders, toward empowered collective reflections and actions toward justice and accountability in relation to this long-distance nationalism.

Notes

In recent years, the VHPA has been holding annual conferences of temple leadership in the United States and Canada, the “Hindu Mandir (temple) Executives Conferences” (HMEC). In 2009 the conference was attended by representatives from 113 temples (VHPA 2009a).
Appendix A: Leadership overlaps between Hindu nationalist affiliated organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sangh Affiliation</th>
<th>IDRF</th>
<th>Sewa International</th>
<th>EVFA</th>
<th>VWEF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Braham R. Aggarwal** | • VHPA-Secretary/Treasurer-FL chapter (Florida Secretary of State 2000-2004)  
• Hindu University of America - Board of Directors (HUA 2004 tax return)  
• Chairperson of Park Square Enterprises, which borrowed $4 million from IDRF in 2004 (IDRF 2004 tax return) | | • Donor of $1.8 million in 2005 (India-West 2005) | • Board of Directors (VWEF 2004 tax return) |
| **Gautam Desai** | • HSS- Alameda (Uppal 2000) | | | • President (Sewa International 2008 tax return) |
| **Beth Kulkarni** | • VHPA-President-Houston Chapter (Kamath 2000)  
• Hindu University - Board of Directors (HUA 2003)  
• Appeals Preparer (Kulkarni 2001) | | | |
| **Yash Pal Lakra** | • President of VHPA, 1994-1999 (VHPA 1999) | | | • Contact for Ekal Vidyalaya on the VHPA website (VHPA 2009b) |
| **Mihir Meghani** | • VHPA- Governing Council Member (VHPA 2001)  
• HSS Member (Rao et al 2003)  
• HAF Co-founder and on the Board of Directors (HAF 2010)  
• Wrote public letter in support of IDRF (Meghani 2003) | | | |
| **Mahesh Mehta** | • VHPA- Founder (Saigal 2004)  
• OFBJP-National Coordinator (OFBJP 2009)  
• Hindu University of America - Board of Directors (Saigal 2004) | | • Board of Directors (Saigal 2004)  
• Board of Directors (VWEF 2004 tax return) | |
| **Vijay Pallod** | • VHPA activist (Giri 2007)  
• Board of Hindu Students Council (Giri 2007)  
• Central Zone Vice President (IDRF 2009)  
• Media assistance (Giri 2007) | | | |
| **Vinod Prakash** | | • President (IDRF 2010) | | • Board of Directors (VWEF 2004 tax return) |
| **Ajay Shah** | • vhp-america.org, vhp.org, hssworld.org, rss.org, ofbjp.org websites-technical contact (DNS lookup)  
• idrf.org and letindiadevelop.org technical contact (DNS lookup) | | • Secretary (EVFA 2002 tax return) | |
| **Ramesh Shah** | • OFBJP-Vice President (OFBJP 2009) | | | |
| **Sonal Shah** | • VHPA-Governing Council (VHPA 2001) | | • Donor of $10,740 in 2005 (EVFA 2005 tax return) | |
## Appendix B: Listing of U.S. Cities with HSS Shakhas/Balagokulams, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Shakha Locations</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Shakha Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>Durham, Nashua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Chandler, Peoria, Phoenix (2)</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>Bordentown, Edision (2), Iselin, Jersey City, Kendall Park, Metuchen, Parsippany, Pomona, Rockaway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Campbell, Cupertino, Foster City, Fremont (3), Irvine (2), Milpitas, Norwalk, Orangevale, Pleasanton, Roseville, San Diego, San Jose (3), San Ramon, Santa Clara, Santa Clarita, Simi Valley, Sunnyvale (4), Temple City, Tracy</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Jamaica Queens, Pomona, Rochester, South Ozone Park, White Plains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Colorado Springs, Lone Tree, Longmont</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>Chapel Hill, Charlotte, Greensboro, Morrisville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Stamford</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Cincinnati, Columbus, Dublin, Marysville, Mason-Wester Chester, Willoughby Hills, Worthington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Jacksonville, Longwood, Orlando, South West Ranches, Tampa (3)</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Atlanta (2), Cumming, Johns Creek (2), Riverdale, Smyrna</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>Beaverton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Audubon, Exton, Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Aurora, Bloomington (2), Libertyville, Peoria, Schaumburg, Springfield</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>Columbia, Greenville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>Ames, Dubuque, Urbandale</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>Sioux Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Austin (2), Coppell, Flower Mound, Houston (3), Irving, Keller, Kingwood, Pearland, Plano, San Antonio (2), Spring, Sugar Land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Adelphi, Clarksburg</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Billerica, Boston, Foxboro, Shrewsbury, Woburn</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Ashburn, Falls Church, Herndon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Canton, Farmington, Midland, Novi, Troy</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Bellevue, Kent, Olympia, Sammamish, Seattle, Spokane, Tukwila, West Richland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Eagan (2), Rochester</td>
<td>Washington DC</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Kaukauna, Menasha, Pewaukee, Sun Prairie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>No shakhas</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>140 (as of May 2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: HSS website, Chapters (HSS 2014a).*
### Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAHAOA</td>
<td>Asian American Hotel Owners Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHAD</td>
<td>American Hindus Against Defamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Amnesty International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANHAD</td>
<td>Act Now for Harmony and Democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJP</td>
<td>Bharatiya Janata Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>Coalition Against Genocide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPEEM</td>
<td>California Parents for the Equalization of Educational Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSFH</td>
<td>Campaign to Stop Funding Hate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESHI</td>
<td>Educators' Society for the Heritage of India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVFA</td>
<td>Ekal Vidyalaya Foundation USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSA</td>
<td>Friends of South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAF</td>
<td>Hindu American Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCI</td>
<td>Hindu Council Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HICAD</td>
<td>Hindu International Council Against Defamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRW</td>
<td>Human Rights Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC</td>
<td>Hindu Students Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUA</td>
<td>Hindu University of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDRF</td>
<td>India Development and Relief Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIJ</td>
<td>International Initiative for Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPT</td>
<td>Indian People's Tribunal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTK</td>
<td>International People's Tribunal on Human Rights and Justice in Kashmir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-governmental organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFBJP</td>
<td>Overseas Friends of the BJP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSS</td>
<td>Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACS</td>
<td>Southern Association of Colleges and Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACW</td>
<td>South Asia Citizens Web/Wire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USCIRF</td>
<td>United States Commission on International Religious Freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDC</td>
<td>Village Defence Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHP</td>
<td>Vishwa Hindu Parishad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHPA</td>
<td>VHP of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHPO</td>
<td>VHP-Overseas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWEF</td>
<td>Vivek Welfare and Educational Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAVES</td>
<td>World Association for Vedic Studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adivasi</td>
<td>those of tribal/indigenous communities, literally, &quot;first inhabitants&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalit</td>
<td>persons formerly known as &quot;untouchable&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dhwaj</td>
<td>saffron flag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parivar</td>
<td>family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shakha</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Infinity Foundation
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Vishwa Hindu Parishad Overseas
13. U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service (IRS)
   2003 Form 990-EZ, Short Form, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax. Vishwa Hindu Parishad Overseas Inc. (VHPO), EIN 04-3576058.
   2006 Form 990-EZ, Short Form, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax. Vishwa Hindu Parishad Overseas Inc. (VHPO), EIN 04-3576058.
   2007 Form 990-EZ, Short Form, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax. Vishwa Hindu Parishad Overseas Inc. (VHPO), EIN 04-3576058.

Vivek Welfare and Educational Foundation
14. U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

World Association of Vedic Studies
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